Work Track 5 meeting

ICANN

7 February 2018

Agenda

1 Welcome/Agenda review/SOI updates (5 mins)

2 Update since last meeting (5 mins)

3 Review of existing defined geographic terms (70 mins)

4 Additional geographic terms (5 mins)

5 AOB (5 mins)

Update since last meeting

Agenda Item #2

Update since last meeting

1) ToR completed.
([https://community.icann.org/display/NGSPP/Terms+of+Reference[community.icann.org]](https://community.icann.org/display/NGSPP/Terms+of+Reference[community.icann.org]))

2) Webinar prepared for WT5 members - History of Geographic Names at the Top Level at ICANN (8th Feb, 19:00 UTC).

- For Work Track 5 members who would like to better understand the issue of geographic names at the top level at ICANN from a historical perspective.

- Work Track 5 Co-Leaders will review key developments and documents.

- Participants will have an opportunity to ask questions.

- The webinar is intended for members who are new to the subject of geographic names at the top level.

- More experienced members are also welcome to attend if they would like a refresher.

Review of existing defined geographic names

Agenda Item #3

Review of existing defined geographic names

Discussion points:

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

Summary of terms we will discuss today:
https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1FuPEq0y-cdSUQ1nvhWKhVnG8PLaC2RYXsCpQu91FDqo/edit#gid=358523414

2.2.1.3.2 DNS Stability: String Review Procedure

Alpha-2 code listed in the ISO 3166-1 standard

Example: AF for Afghanistan

Policy (2007 PDP): Not available as gTLD. Two- character ASCII strings are not permitted, to avoid conflicting with current and future country codes based on the ISO 3166-1 standard.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Not available as gTLD. Two- character ASCII strings are not permitted, to avoid conflicting with current and future country codes based on the ISO 3166-1 standard.

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

2.2.1.4.1 Country or Territory Names

Alpha-3 code listed in the ISO 3166-1 standard

Examples: AFG for Afghanistan

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of GAC Principles. Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Not available as gTLD.

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

2.2.1.4.1 Country or Territory Names

Long-form name in the ISO 3166-standard, or a translation of the long-form name in any language

Example: The Islamic Republic of Afghanistan

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of GAC Principles. Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Not available as gTLD.

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

2.2.1.4.1 Country or Territory Names

Short-form name in the ISO 3166-standard, or a translation of the short-form name in any language

Example: Afghanistan

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of GAC Principles. Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Not available as gTLD.

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

2.2.1.4.1 Country or Territory Names

Short- or long- form name association with a code that has been designated as "exceptionally reserved" by the ISO 3166 Maintenance Agency

Reserved for a particular use at special request of a national ISO member body, governments or international organizations. Example: UK reserved for United Kingdom.

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of GAC Principles. Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Not available as gTLD.

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

2.2.1.4.1 Country or Territory Names

Separable component of a country name designated on the "Separable Country Name List," or is a translation of a name appearing on the list, in any language, according to annex in AGB

Example: Åland, separable component of Åland Islands. See AGB Annex for a list.

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of GAC Principles. Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Not available as gTLD.

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

2.2.1.4.1 Country or Territory Names

Permutation or transposition of any of the names included above.
Permutations include removal of spaces, insertion of punctuation, and addition or removal of grammatical articles like "the." A transposition is considered a change in the sequence of the long or short–form name, for example, "RepublicCzech" or "IslandsCayman."

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of GAC Principles. Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Not available as gTLD.

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

2.2.1.4.1 Country or Territory Names

A name by which a country is commonly known, as demonstrated by evidence that the country is recognized by that name by an intergovernmental or treaty organization

Example: Holland for the Netherlands

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of GAC Principles. Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Not available as gTLD.

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

2.2.1.4.2 Other Geographic Names

A representation, in any language, of a capital city name of any country or territory listed in ISO 3166-1

Examples: London-Londres-Llundain / Berlin-Berlijn-Berlino

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of GAC Principles. Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Requiring support/non-objection from relevant governments or public authorities.

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

2.2.1.4.2 Other Geographic Names

City name, used for purposes associated with the city name

Examples: Bath, Florence, Frankfurt

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of GAC Principles. Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Requiring support/non-objection from relevant governments or public authorities.

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

2.2.1.4.2 Other Geographic Names

City name, used for other purposes

Examples: Bath, Florence, Frankfurt, Spa

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of GAC Principles. Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): No requirements.

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

2.2.1.4.2 Other Geographic Names

Exact match of a sub-national place name, such as a county, province, or state listed in ISO 3166-2

Example: Badakhshān (AF-BDS) in Afghanistan

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of GAC Principles. Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Requiring support/non-objection from relevant governments or public authorities.

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

2.2.1.4.2 Other Geographic Names

String listed as a UNESCO region or appearing on the "Composition of macro geographical (continental) regions, geographical sub-regions, and selected economic and other groupings" list

Examples: Africa, Northern Africa

Policy (2007 PDP): Available, but challenge mechanism to governments to initiate an objection. Applicants should be aware of GAC Principles. Applicants must represent that the use of the proposed string is not in violation of the national laws in which the applicant is incorporated.

Implementation (2012 AGB): Requiring support/non-objection from at least 60% of the respective national governments in the region and no more than 1 written statement of objection from relevant governments or authorities.

a) is it a valid geographic term for the purposes of new gTLDs?

b) what were the positive impact/merits based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

c) what were the negative impact/opportunities lost based on the treatment applied to the term in the AGB?

Additional geographic terms

Agenda Item #4

AOB

Agenda Item #5